INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter mail Application No PCT/US2004/041970

A CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C07D405/14 C07D409/14 C070401/14 C070417/14 A61K31/506 A61P37/00 A61P11/06 A61P9/00 According to International Peleut Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC Minimum documentation scandied (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 CO7D A61K A61P Cocumentation scanned other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consided during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BEILSTEIN Data, CHEM ABS Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Catagory * Chation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Flewsvant to claim No X EP 1 283 056 A (ESAI CO., LTD.) 1-34 12 February 2003 (2003-02-12) the whole document X WO 03/035639 A (EISAI CO., LTD.) 1-34 1 May 2003 (2003-05-01) the whole document P, X, -& EP 1 439 175 A 1-34 21 July 2004 (2004-07-21) counterpart in English WO 01/62233 A (F. HOFFMANN LA ROCHE AG) 1-34 30 August 2001 (2001-08-30) the whole document A WO 02/47690 A (CYTOVIA, INC.) 3 20 June 2002 (2002-06-20) * the whole document, particularly examples 109-114 * Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Patent family members are listed in emex. Special categories of clied documents; later document published after the international filled date or provity date and not in conflict with that application and died to understand the principle or theory understying the *A* document defining the general state of the last which is not considered to be of particular relevance. noingwell "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention caused be considered povetor export by considered to "1." decembed which may throw doubts on priority related to establish the publication data of enotiner citation or other special reason (as especial). involve an inventive step when the document is taken awne "Y" document of perticular relevance; the claimed invention control be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such decuments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled "O" document referring to an over disclosure, use, exhibition or sassur radio document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international scarch Date of mailing of the international search report 4 April 2008 20/04/2005 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Pateni Office, P.S. 5816 Patentiaun 3 Nt. - 2280 HV Riswijk Tet. (+3)-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 861 epo ni, Allard, M Fax: (431-70) 340-3016

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 690068.601PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/041970	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 December 2004 (14.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 December 2003 (15.12.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant ALMIRALL PRODESFARMA AG			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		

	Date of issuance of this report 20 June 2006 (20.06.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Ads

					PRECED 19 APR 2005
			-	-	WIPO
	see form P(CT/ISA/220		WRIT	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
	300 101111	• <u> </u>			PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				(PGT Nule 438/3.1)
				Date of mailing	
				(day/month/year) se	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
 \pplic	ant's or agent's file re	eference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	orm PCT/ISA/220				
	ational application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.12.2003
ntern	ational Patent Classi	fication (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	0700 AC1D1106 AC1D0/00
C07	D405/14, C07D4	09/14, C07D4	101/14, C07D417/14,	A61K31/506, A61P	37/00, A61P11/06, A61P9/00
Applic	eant				
ALM	IRALL PRODES	SFARMA, SA.			
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ions relating to the fo	llowing items:	
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	⊠ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inver	itive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention		
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability;	atement under Rule 43 <i>t</i> citations and explanatio	ois.1(a)(i) with regard ns supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docur			
	☐ Box No. VII		ts in the international a		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	rvations on the internati	onal application	
2.	FURTHER ACT				
	written opinion o	of the Internatio ooses an Autho reau under Rul	nai Preliminary Examin	to be the IPEA and t	will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority
	If this opinion is	, as provided a EA a written re	bove, considered to be ply together, where app	a written opinion of the propriate, with amend or before the expirat	ne IPEA, the applicant is invited to iments, before the expiration of three ion of 22 months from the priority date,
	submit to the IP months from the whichever expir	e date of mailin	g of Form FO MSAV220		
	submit to the IP months from the	e date of mailin es later.			
3.	submit to the IP months from the whichever expir For further optic	e date of mailin es later. ons, see Form l			
3.	submit to the IP months from the whichever expir For further optic	e date of mailin es later. ons, see Form l	PCT/ISA/220.		



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Allard, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2002



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/041970

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forr	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	r C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4	. Addit	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/041970

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The obv	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applica	nven ıble f	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non nave not been examined in respect of:		
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 24-28 (as to industrial applicability only)				
bec	because:				
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 24-28 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/041970

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-34

No:

Claims

. .

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-34

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23, 29-34

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 24-28 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 283 056 (EISAI CO., LTD.) 12 February 2003 (2003-02-12)

D2: WO 03/035639 A (EISAI CO., LTD.) 1 May 2003 (2003-05-01)

D3: WO 01/62233 A (F. HOFFMANN LA ROCHE AG) 30 August 2001 (2001-08-30)

Note that for convenience the counterpart in English EP 1 439 175 of D2 is used in this opinion.

Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The compounds of formula (I) according to claim 1 are not disclosed in the available prior art: the subject-matter of claims 1-34 is therefore novel.

Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

D1, which is considered to represent the closest prior art, describes pyrimidine derivatives useful as adenosine A_{2b} receptor antagonists, see claims 14 and 17. In particular, D1 specifically discloses pyrimidin-2-amines substituted in positions 4 and 5 by heteroaryl groups as such derivative, see examples 3, 6, 7, 39, 42-46, 48 and 50.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/041970

Similar compounds with the same biological activity are disclosed in D2 and D3.

In the light of the teachings of D1, the problem underlying and solved by the present application can be seen in the provision of further compounds with the same biological activity.

To solve this problem, the present application proposes to interchange the substituents in positions 2 and 4 of the pyrimidine compounds disclosed in D1, *i.e.* to replace the 2-amino-4-heteroaryl substructure of the compounds of D1 by a 4-amino-2-heteroaryl substructure.

Such a modification would however appear to be an obvious measure in the design of further biologically active compounds, which does not involve an inventive step. It is in particular noted that D1 already suggests 4-aminopyrimidines as adenosine A_{2b} receptor antagonists (see in claim 14 the definition of R^2), whereas D3 suggests a 2,4,6-substitution pattern on the pyrimidine core for similar adenosine A_{2b} receptor antagonists (see in particular D3, example 388).

The subject-matter of claims 1-34 does therefore not involve an inventive step.

Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

The compounds, compositions and processes of claims 1-23 and 29-34 can be used in the pharmaceutical industry.

For the assessment of the present claims 24-28 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims.